

## Data analysis task

## Marking Scheme

- (a) Any suitable example given e.g. gravitational force, light [or em radiation] from star. [1]
- (b) (i) Any sensible and practical precaution e.g. use tongs to handle source, source pointed away from body/limit exposure/shielding [not goggles only] [1]
- (ii) Table:

Distance ( $d$ )/mm	Count rate/Bq	$1/\text{distance}^2$ ( $\text{mm}^{-2}$ or $\text{m}^{-2}$ )
13	$215 \pm 8$	0.0059 (5900)
17	$125 \pm 6$	0.0035 (3500)
20	$94 \pm 5$	0.0025 (2500)
25	$59 \pm 4$	0.0016 (1600)
30	$44 \pm 3$	0.0011 (1100)
50	$19 \pm 2$	0.00040 (400)

Correct values in table (1)

2 significant figures used [allow 1sf in bottom cell] (1)

Unit  $\text{mm}^{-2}$  or  $\text{m}^{-2}$  (1)

[NB The unit used must be consistent with the values, e.g.  $\text{mm}^{-2}$  with 5900 in the first box loses the unit mark] [3]

- (c) Graph
- Titles and units (ecf) on both axes and correctly orientated graph (1)
- Sensible scales (over half page used to plot the points, not multiples of 3) (1)
- All points plotted correctly to within  $\frac{1}{2}$  division (1)
- All error bars plotted correctly (1)
- Lines of steepest fit and least steep fit consistent with data (not  $\sim$  parallel lines) (1) [5]
- (d) Gradient
- (i) Large triangles used (should be close to the extremities of the lines) or 2 suitable widely separated points on each graph (1)
- Both gradients calculated correctly (ignore unit and significant figures) (1 + 1)
- [allow e.c.f. for incorrect lines] [3]
- (ii) Mean gradient correct (1)
- Uncertainty correct (1) [2]